Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell Llp

1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347

302 658 9200 302 658 3989 Fax

Gregory W. Werkheiser 302 351 9229 302 425 4663 Fax gwerkheiser@mnat.com

March 16, 2006

Via Hand Delivery

Honorable Kent A. Jordan United States District Court Judge United States District Court 844 N. King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: Steven Haas (a/k/a Laser Haas), As President of

Collateral Logistics, Inc. v. Traub, Bonacquist & Fox, et al.

(District Court Case No. 05-0829 (KAJ)

Robert K. Alber v. Traub, Bonacquist & Fox LLP, et al.

(District Court Case No. 05- 0830-KAJ)

Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell v. Traub, Bonacquist & Fox LLP, et al.

(District Court Case No. 05- 0831-KAJ)

Dear Judge Jordan:

I write on behalf of my firm Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell, LLP ("Morris Nichols") to apprise the Court of a further development since the transmission of Morris Nichols' letter to the Court, dated March 10, 2006 [Case No. 05-0829, Docket No. 33] (the "March 10th Letter"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the March 10th Letter.

Following the filing and service of the March 10th Letter, appellant Steven Haas (a/k/a Laser Haas) ("Mr. Haas") contacted the undersigned via an email, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A hereto. Mr. Haas appears to dispute whether he received the March 6th Email by which the Appellees communicated the proposed briefing schedule and related terms to Mr. Haas and his co-appellant Mr. Alber. Mr. Haas, however, did not in his email identify any specific objections he had to the briefing schedule or the Proposed Scheduling Order.

Honorable Kent A. Jordan March 16, 2006 Page 2

On the morning of March 15, 2006, the undersigned responded to Mr. Haas via an email, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B hereto. Although Morris Nichols disagrees with Mr. Haas's contentions regarding the adequacy of notice to him, Mr. Haas was offered a further opportunity to communicate any specific objections he had to the proposed briefing schedule and related terms. Despite this further opportunity and the fact that Mr. Haas also received the March 10th Letter nearly one week ago, no response has been received from Mr. Haas concerning any specific objections he has to the proposed briefing schedule and related terms.

Respectfully,

Gregory W. Werkheiser

Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell LLP

GWW/mf

cc: Frederick B. Rosner, Esq.
James L. Garrity, Esq.
Ronald R. Sussman, Esq.
Mark. Minuti, Esq.
Mark S. Kenney, Esq.
G. David Dean, Esq.
Steven E. Fox, Esq.
Mr. Robert Alber
Mr. Steven Haas